

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/007,257		11/13/2001	Barry Douglas Moore	31749/241015	8521		
826	7590	12/18/2002					
ALSTON	& BIRD	LLP	EXAMINER				
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			000	TRAN, S	TRAN, SUSAN T		
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

1615 DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No		Applicant(s)							
	•	10/007,257		MOORE ET AL.							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Susan Tran		1615							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status											
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·									
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-	final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.											
Dispositi	ion of Claims										
-	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application										
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from conside	ration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.										
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ement.								
• •	ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•									
<i>'</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Examiner  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		eted to by the Exar	miner							
10)											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.											
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.											
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.											
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rec	eived.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been red	eived in Application	on No							
* (	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International BurSee the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage						
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.											
Attachmen		. programs		·							
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	4)	Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC							

Application/Control Number: 10/007,257

Art Unit: 1615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's Declaration filed 05/29/02, and Information Disclosure Statement filed 02/19/02.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 10, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5, 10, 24, and 25 are rejected in the use of the phrase "for example" or "such as". The phrase renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-8, 10-12, 22, 24, 25, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Randen et al. J. Pharm. Pharmacol (XP-000973058).

Application/Control Number: 10/007,257

Art Unit: 1615

Randen teaches method of coprecipitation of enzymes with water-soluble starch. The method comprises preparing an aqueous solution of enzymes (krill protease) and water-soluble starch; mixing the aqueous solution with organic solvent, such as ethanol, acetone, or iosopropanol to cause rapid precipitation; collecting the precipitate; drying the precipitate; and milling the dried precipitate to obtain micronized particle (pages 763-766).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 20-25, 27, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Randen et al.

Randen is relied upon for the reason stated above. The reference is silent as to the teaching of particle having size of less than 50 µm. However, Randen teaches the irregular shape particles or precipitate is further micronized by milling or grinding to obtain a more uniform particle size distribution (pages 763-764). Thus, it would have been *prima facie* obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to, by routine experimentation obtain particle having size of less than 50 µm, because the reference teaches micronized, milling, or grinding the particle to obtain a desirable microparticle size.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,257

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 22 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novo WO 97/34919.

Novo teaches method for purification of a protein from protein containing solution. The method comprises crystallizing protein from protein solution with water-miscible organic solvent, and isolating after crystallization (pages 17-18). It is the position of the examiner that it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in this art to modify Novo's method with the expectation of at least similar result, because Novo teaches similar method using same materials to obtain useful crystalline protein product.

Claims 1-5, 13-21, 23, and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkins et al. US 5,198,353, and Langley et al. EP 0 356 239.

Hawkins teaches method for preparing stabilized enzyme dispersion comprising coprecipitating enzyme and polymer in aqueous solution, mixing the enzyme and polymer solution with organic solvent, obtaining the precipitant (columns 3-6, and examples). The polymer being used can be selected from polymer having molecular weight of from 1,000 Da (column 2).

Hawkins is silent as to the teaching of particle size of less than 50 μm.

Langley teaches process for preparing stable dispersion of small particles comprising dispersion of enzyme and polymer in liquid solution and precipitated with a solvent phase to obtain particle having size of below 20 µm (columns 2-7, and claims). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hawkins's

Application/Control Number: 10/007,257 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

process with the teachings of Langley to obtain particle having size of less than 20 µm, because the references teach the advantageous results of enzyme dispersion process.

#### Pertinent Arts

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Groman et al., and Bustos et al. are cited as being of interest for the teachings of stable dispersion of small particles.

### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-5816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

CARLOS AZPURU BRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1500